

1 JOHN H. HEMANN (State Bar No. 165823)  
2 JOSEPH E. FLOREN (State Bar No. 168292)  
3 JONATHAN M. DEGOOYER (State Bar No. 221007)  
4 LAURA A. LEE (State Bar No. 246032)  
5 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
6 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
7 San Francisco, CA 94105  
8 Telephone: 415.442.1000  
Facsimile: 415.442.1001  
E-mail: jhemann@morganlewis.com  
jfloren@morganlewis.com  
jdegooyer@morganlewis.com  
llee@morganlewis.com

9 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant  
10 EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

\*E-FILED - 2/26/08\*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 YENNA WU, Derivatively on Behalf of  
14 Nominal Defendant EXTREME  
15 NETWORKS, INC.,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 GORDON L. STITT, et al.,

19 Defendants,

20 and

21 EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.,

22 Nominal Defendant.

Case No. C-07-02268-RMW

**STIPULATION AND []  
ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES FOR  
ALL PURPOSES AND ESTABLISHING  
PLEADING AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

**TO BE CONSOLIDATED WITH:**

LINDA ERIKSON, Derivatively on Behalf  
of Nominal Defendant EXTREME  
NETWORKS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GORDON L. STITT, et al.,

Defendants,

and

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. C-07-02388-RMW

FRANK A. GRUCEL, JR., Derivatively on  
Behalf of Nominal Defendant EXTREME  
NETWORKS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GORDON L. STITT, et al.,

Defendants,

and

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. C-07-02848-RMW

**TO THE HONORABLE COURT:**

Plaintiffs and Defendants hereby stipulate and agree, by and through their undersigned  
counsel of record, as follows:

WHEREAS, there are three derivative actions pending in the Northern District of  
California against nominal defendant Extreme Networks, Inc., as well as some or all of the  
individual defendants named in this action, captioned as follows:

<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
<i>Wu v. Stitt, et al.</i>	C-07-02268-RMW	April 25, 2007 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Erikson v. Stitt, et al.</i>	C-07-02388-RMW	May 2, 2007
<i>Grucel v. Stitt, et al.</i>	C-07-02848-RMW	May 31, 2007

WHEREAS, on June 18, 2007, each of the above-listed actions was ordered related and assigned to the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte;

WHEREAS, the above-listed actions arise out of the same transactions and occurrences and involve the same or substantially similar issues of law and fact, and therefore, meet the criteria for consolidation for all purposes pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a);

WHEREAS, on May 22, 2007, counsel for the parties to the *Wu* and *Erikson* actions filed a stipulation to consolidate the *Wu* and *Erikson* actions, upon which the Court deferred action on June 1, 2007;

WHEREAS, on June 8, 2007, counsel for plaintiff Grucel filed a motion to consolidate all three of the above-listed actions and to appoint Grucel as lead plaintiff and his counsel as lead counsel in the consolidated action, the hearing for which has been set for July 27, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.;

WHEREAS, on July 6, 2007, nominal defendant Extreme Networks, Inc. filed a Statement of Non-Opposition to plaintiff Grucel's June 8, 2007 motion to consolidate all three of the above-listed actions and to appoint Grucel as lead plaintiff and his counsel as lead counsel in the consolidated action, stating its agreement that the above-listed actions should be consolidated and taking no position regarding the appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel; and,

WHEREAS, all plaintiffs and all defendants who have been served and/or appeared in the above-listed actions, by and through undersigned counsel ("Defendants") agree to consolidation of the above-listed cases for all purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned counsel for all parties, as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Wu filed an amended complaint on June 15, 2007.

1           1.       The following actions are consolidated for all purposes, including pretrial  
2 proceedings, trial, and appeal:

3 <u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
4 <i>Wu v. Stitt, et al.</i>	C-07-02268-RMW	April 25, 2007
5 <i>Erikson v. Stitt, et al.</i>	C-07-02388-RMW	May 2, 2007
6 <i>Grucel v. Stitt, et al.</i>	C-07-02848-RMW	May 31, 2007

7           2.       The caption of these consolidated actions shall be “*In re Extreme Networks, Inc.*  
8 *Shareholder Derivative Litigation*” and the files of these consolidated actions shall be maintained  
9 in one file under Master File No. C-07-2268-RMW. Any other actions now pending or later filed  
10 in this Court which arise out of or are related to the same facts alleged in the above-listed actions  
11 shall be consolidated for all purposes, if and when they are brought to the Court’s attention.

12           3.       All pleadings filed in the consolidated actions, or in any separate action included  
13 herein, shall bear the following caption:

14                           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15                           NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16                           SAN JOSE DIVISION

18           In re EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.  
19           SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE  
20           LITIGATION

Case No. C-07-02268-RMW

21           This Document Relates To:  
22  
23

24           4.       When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions governed by this Order,  
25 the words “All Actions” shall appear after the words “This Document Relates To:” in the above-  
26 caption. When a pleading is to be applicable to only some, but not all, of the consolidated  
27 actions, this Court’s docket number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to  
28 be applicable and the abbreviated case name of said action shall appear after the words “This

Document Relates To:" in the above-caption.

5. A Master Docket and a Master File are established for the above-listed consolidated actions and for all other related actions filed or transferred to this Court. Separate dockets shall continue to be maintained for each of the individual actions hereby consolidated, and entries shall be made in the docket of each individual case in accordance with the regular procedures of the clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order.

6. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is applicable to "All Actions," the clerk shall file such a pleading in the Master File and note such filing in the Master Docket. No further copies need be filed, and no other docket entries need be made.

7. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer than all of the consolidated actions, the clerk will file such pleading in the Master File only, but shall docket such filing on the Master Docket and the docket of each applicable action.

8. If and when a case which properly belongs as part of *In re Extreme Networks, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation* is filed in or transferred to this Court, the clerk of this Court shall:

- (a) Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
- (b) Make to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly-filed or transferred case a copy of this Order and direct that this Order be served upon or mailed to any new defendant(s) or their counsel in the newly-filed or transferred case; and,
- (c) Make an appropriate entry on the Master Docket. This Court requests the assistance of counsel in calling to the attention of the clerk of this Court the filing or transfer or any case which properly might be consolidated as part of *In re Extreme Networks, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation*.

9. This Stipulation and Order shall have no effect on the appointment of lead plaintiff and lead plaintiff's counsel in the consolidated action, which will be determined separately.

10. Plaintiffs shall have forty-five (45) days from the entry of the Court's Order appointing lead plaintiff(s) and lead counsel in the consolidated action to file a Consolidated

1 Complaint, which will supersede all existing complaints filed in these actions. Defendants need  
 2 not respond to any of the complaints currently on file. Service, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal  
 3 Rules of Civil Procedure, of any of the pre-existing complaints on any of the defendants, or their  
 4 counsel, shall constitute sufficient service on that defendant. Plaintiffs shall serve the  
 5 Consolidated Complaint on counsel for Defendants, who shall return waivers of service on behalf  
 6 of each individual defendant.

7 10. Each defendant shall answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Complaint  
 8 no later than forty-five (45) days after the date of filing. In the event that defendants file and  
 9 serve any motion directed at the Consolidated Complaint, plaintiffs shall file and serve their  
 10 opposition no later than forty-five (45) days after service of defendants' motion. If defendants  
 11 file and serve a reply to plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so no later than twenty (20) days after  
 12 service of the opposition.

13 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

14 Dated: July 10, 2007

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**  
 JOHN H. HEMANN  
 JOSEPH E. FLOREN  
 JONATHAN M. DEGOOYER  
 LAURA A. LEE

15 By: /s/ Joseph E. Floren  
 16 Joseph E. Floren

17 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
 18 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
 19 Telephone: (415) 442-1000  
 20 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

21 **Attorneys For Nominal Defendant Extreme**  
 22 **Networks, Inc.**

1 Dated: July 10, 2007

**SCHIFFRIN, BARROWAY, TOPAZ &  
KESSLER, LLP**  
ALAN R. PLUTZIK  
ROBERT M. BRAMSON  
L. TIMOTHY FISHER

5 By: /s/ Alan R. Plutzik  
6 Alan R. Plutzik

7 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120  
8 Walnut Creek, CA 94598  
9 Telephone: (925) 945-0770  
10 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

11 **SCHIFFRIN, BARROWAY, TOPAZ &  
12 KESSLER, LLP**  
13 ERIC. L. ZAGAR  
14 ROBIN WINCHESTER  
15 NICHOLE BROWNING  
16 J. DANIEL ALBERT  
17 280 King of Prussia Road  
18 Radnor, PA 19087  
19 Telephone: (610) 667-7706  
20 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056

21 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs**  
22 **Yenna Wu and Linda Erikson**

1 Dated: July 10, 2007

**LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER  
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP**  
JOHN K. GRANT  
SHAWN A. WILLIAMS  
MONIQUE C. WINKLER  
AELISH M. BAIG

6 By: /s/ Shawn A. Williams  
Shawn A. Williams

7 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600  
8 San Francisco, CA 94111  
9 Telephone: (415) 288-4545  
Facsimile: (415) 288-4534

10 **LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER**  
11 **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP**  
12 DARREN J. ROBBINS  
13 TRAVIS E. DOWNS III  
14 KATHLEEN A. HERKENHOFF  
15 JAMES J. JACONETTE  
16 BENNY C. GOODMAN III  
17 MARY LYNNE CALKINS  
18 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900  
19 San Diego, CA 92101-3301  
20 Telephone: (619) 231-1058  
21 Facsimile: (619) 231-7423

22 **LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER**  
23 **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP**  
24 THOMAS G. WILHELM  
25 9601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 510  
26 Los Angeles, CA 90210  
27 Telephone: (310) 859-3100  
28 Facsimile: (310) 278-2148

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
**Frank A. Grucel, Jr.**


22 I, Joseph E. Floren, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this  
23 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER. I hereby attest that each of the two signatories  
24 identified above has concurred in this filing.



**ORDER**

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO  
ORDERED.**

DATED: 8/2, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Ronald M. Whyte  
United States District Judge

1-SF/7572139.3